## The Role of Nonaromatic Isomers in the **Photochemistry of 3,5-Dimethoxybenzyl** Acetate

Frances L. Cozens, Alexandra L. Pincock, James A. Pincock,\* and Richard Smith

Department of Chemistry, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 4J3, Canada

## Received December 15, 1997

More than 20 years ago, Jaeger reported<sup>1,2</sup> that photolysis of 3,5-dimethoxybenzyl acetate (DMBA), 1, in hexane, gave a 17% yield of the isomer 2 along with radical-derived coupling products, the in-cage one, 3 (47%), being the major one (Scheme 1). We were intrigued by the possibility that 2 might also be a primary photoproduct in polar, nucleophilic solvents, like methanol, where photolysis of DMBA gives, as the major product, the ether 4 (56%),<sup>3</sup> clearly derived from an intermediate arylmethyl cation. If 2 is formed in methanol, it might be expected to undergo rapid ground-state solvolysis to 4 complicating mechanistic arguments<sup>4,5</sup> centered on the yield of ion-derived products in this "photosolvolysis" reaction, i.e., is 4 formed entirely in the primary photochemistry of DMBA or, at least in part, in a secondary ground-state process from 2? This is an important question because DMBA, and other multiple methoxy substituted benzyl acetates, has been shown<sup>3</sup> to give a higher yield of ion-derived products than expected on the basis of a mechanism that emphasizes formation of ion pairs from radical pairs by electron transfer. Moreover, on the basis of the pioneering study by Zimmerman<sup>6</sup> on the influence of *meta* methoxy substituents on benzene excited state reactivity, the 3,5dimethoxybenzyl chromophore in benzoin derivatives has recently been advocated in the design of practical examples of photo labile protecting groups.<sup>7,8</sup>

We therefore decided to isolate and examine the reactivity of 2 and now report that (1) the compound previously reported as **2** is, in fact, the bicyclic isomer **5**; (2) 2 can be prepared from 5 by pyrolysis in hexane; (3) 2 does undergo rapid ground-state solvolysis in methanol; and (4) 2 is formed as a primary photoproduct of DMBA in methanol.

Photolysis<sup>9</sup> in hexanes results, as previously reported,<sup>1</sup> in the rapid<sup>10</sup> disappearance of DMBA. By integration

- (3) Pincock, J. A.; Wedge, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 5587–5595.
  (4) Pincock, J. A. Acc. Chem. Res 1997, 30, 43–49.
  (5) Zimmerman, H. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1995, 117, 8988–8991.

(6) Zimmerman, H. E.; Sandel, V. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 915-922

(7) Pirrung, M. C.; Bradley, J.-C. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 1116–1117.
(8) Cameron, J. F.; Wilson, C. G.; Frechet, J. M. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 12925-12937.

of the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of the crude reaction mixture, using the signals previously reported and assigned to 2, the yield of the photoisomer was 9%. This compound was then partially purified by preparative chromatography.<sup>11</sup> The original assignment of 2 was made only on the basis of 100 MHz <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra, and two of the reported features were puzzling. First, the signal for  $H_a$  was at  $\delta$ 3.58, a very low value for a doubly allylic methine hydrogen at an ester carbon (an approximate value of  $\delta$ 6.6 can be predicted using the corresponding CH<sub>2</sub> in o-isotoluene (C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>) at  $\delta$  3.17 (C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>6</sub>)<sup>12</sup> and  $\delta$  3.32 (CCl<sub>4</sub>)<sup>13</sup> and the expected substituent effect of an ester oxygen of  $\delta$  3.4<sup>14</sup>) and, second, the two methoxy groups, although in very similar chemical environments, gave quite different chemical shifts ( $\delta$  3.24 and  $\delta$  3.62). When <sup>1</sup>H–  $^{13}\mbox{C-correlated spectra}^{15}$  demonstrated that both  $H_a$  and  $H_e$  were on sp<sup>3</sup>-hybridized carbons at  $\delta$  76.6 and  $\delta$  52.6, respectively, assignment of the structure 2 to this compound became untenable. In contrast, the NMR spectra are consistent with structure 5, particularly when the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C chemical shifts, after correcting for substituent effects, are compared with those of the previously reported<sup>13</sup> unsubstituted compound, C<sub>7</sub>H<sub>8</sub>, another isomer of toluene. Moreover, the structure of 5 makes chemical sense, because an obvious mechanism for its formation is the allowed disrotatory butadiene to cyclobutene ring closure by secondary photochemistry of 2.16

As expected, freshly isolated samples of 5 were transparent at 320 nm; however, 5 slowly isomerized to 2, and this first-order conversion could be monitored by either <sup>1</sup>H NMR or the increasing absorbance at 320 nm ( $t_{1/2}$ approximately 10 h at room temperature).<sup>17</sup> The conversion of 5 to 2 was cleanly first order in hexanes at 50 °C  $(t_{1/2} = 89 \text{ min})^{18}$  and **2** could then be isolated, spectra<sup>19</sup> taken, and its reactivity studied. NMR samples of 2 in  $CDCl_3$  were relatively unstable as **2** rearranged to the toluene derivative 6; this process was quantitative after 2 h at 50 °C.<sup>20</sup> In acetonitrile, **2** rearranges back to

(11) Silica gel dry-flash chromatography using 5% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluant gave fractions with purity as high as 90% but always contaminated with DMBA

(12) Kopecky, K. R.; Lau, M.-P. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 525-526.

(13) Hasselmann, D.; Loosen, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1978, 17, 606-608.

(14) Silverstein, R. M.; Bassler, G. C.; Morrill, T. C. Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds, 5th ed.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1991; p 213.

(15) NMR spectra for 5: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 250 mHz)  $\delta$  5.66 (H<sub>a</sub>), 5.24 ( $H_c$  or  $H_d$ ), 5.16 ( $H_c$  or  $H_d$ ), 4.74 ( $H_d$ ), 3.71 (3H, s), 3.66 ( $H_e$ ), 3.33 (3H, s) and 2.09 (3H, s); the observed multiplets could be simulated using the program 2.09 (3H, s); the observed multiplets could be simulated using the program NMRSIM (Dr. T. P. Forrest, Chemistry Department, Dalhousie) to give the following coupling constants, J(Hz): eb (1.22), ec (0.91), ed (1.83), ca. (2.44), and da (2.14); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 250 mHz)  $\delta$  170.5 (C=O), 158.3 (=*C*OCH<sub>3</sub>), 144.6 (*C*=CH<sub>2</sub>), 112.3 (C=*C*H<sub>2</sub>), 95.7 (*C*H<sub>b</sub>), 81.0 (*C*OCH<sub>3</sub>), 76.6 (*C*H<sub>a</sub>), 56.9 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>O), 52.6 (*C*H<sub>e</sub>), 52.3 (*C*H<sub>3</sub>O), and 21.1 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Assignments were made on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C<sup>-1</sup>H correlated spectra.

(16) Although we have no evidence to support the assignment, the acetate functional group is assumed to be in the more stable exo position.

(17) This observation clarified several experimental observations. First, the initial report<sup>1</sup> on the isolation of **5** (thought to be **2**) included a UV absorption band at 315 nm with  $\epsilon = 400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ ; the wavelength agrees with expectations for **2** but he  $\epsilon$  value is too low for a conjugated triene. Our estimate of  $\epsilon = 5500 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at 320 nm is more reasonable, particularly when compared to the value of 4400 reported<sup>12</sup> for the unsubstituted compound, o-isotoluene (C7H8). Second, in our attempts to isolate the photoisomer, we monitored chromatography fractions by HPLC with UV detection at 320 nm. Those fractions that contained 5, when first obtained, were transparent but, after standing overnight at room temperature, were strongly absorbant. Both of these observations result from increasing amounts of 2 contaminating 5 with time.

(18) For the unsubstituted compound, a value of  $t_{1/2}$  = 210 min in THF can be calculated from the Arrhenius values reported.<sup>13</sup>

<sup>\*</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed. Tel.: (902) 494-3324. Fax: (902) 494-1310. E-mail: PINCOCK@CHEM1.CHEM.DAL.CA.

notebooks, including spectra. This material was of invaluable help. Although we are reinterpreting his observations, the quality of his experiments and the clarity of the notebooks would serve as models for anyone. Without the visual incentive of his spectra, we would have had even more difficulty completing these experiments.

<sup>(9)</sup> Vycor-filtered, 450 W, medium-pressure Hanovia mercury lamp.

<sup>(10)</sup> Greater than 90% conversion of 400 mg of 1 in 280 mL of hexanes after 30 min as determined by HPLC on silica gel with 5% ethyl acetate in hexanes as eluant.



DMBA, presumably because this more polar solvent induces contact ion pair formation. Of particular relevance to the photochemistry of DMBA in polar, nucleophilic solvents, the half-life for first-order solvolysis of **2** in methanol at room temperature is only 2.7 min at 25 °C! The products result from partitioning of the ion pair between nucleophilic capture by methanol to give the methyl ether, **4** (60%) and internal return to DMBA (40%).

Finally, to demonstrate that **2** is formed in methanol, laser flash photolysis<sup>21</sup> of DMBA at 266 nm gave an absorbance spectrum with a maximum at 320 nm that remained constant on the millisecond time scale. Moreover, samples subjected to multiple 266 nm laser pulses could be transferred to a diode array spectrometer and the decay of the photogenerated transient monitored. The lifetime obtained was identical to that obtained from the preparative-scale NMR samples, conclusively demonstrating that **2** is a primary photoproduct in methanol.<sup>22</sup>

These observations have important implications in the ongoing debate concerning the mechanism for formation of ion-derived products in the photochemistry of benzyl acetates.<sup>4.5</sup> There are three possible mechanisms for the formation of **2** from DMBA in methanol: (1) concerted sigmatropic rearrangement; (2) recombination of an initially formed radical pair; and (3) recombination of an initially formed ion pair. If either mechanism (1) or (2) is important in nucleophilic solvents such as methanol,

then the yield of ion-derived product, the methyl ether **4**, will be increased by a ground-state pathway for its formation that is independent of the photochemical pathway. By analogy with the photo-Fries reaction where solvent caged radical pairs generated from the singlet excited state are proven intermediates,<sup>23</sup> mechanism 2 would seem to be preferred.

Moreover, preliminary results for DMBP (the *tert*butyl, or pivalate, ester derivative of **1**) also support mechanism 2. Both DMBA and DMBP produce an absorbance at 320 nm after multiple 266 nm laser pulses. For DMBA, after the decay of **2** is complete, the residual absorbance remaining is still 15% of the starting absorbance value. This residual absorbance is assigned to the more stable alkyl-substituted triene product that would result by combination of the radical pair after the decarboxylation of the acyloxy radical.<sup>24</sup> In contrast, for DMBP, the residual absorbance is 77% of the original value. For the radical pair in this case, decarboxylation of the acyloxy radical is faster<sup>25</sup> so that formation of the solvolytically reactive trienyl ester<sup>26</sup> is less efficient relative to the formation of hydrocarbon products.

Future experiments will be directed toward establishing the photochemical yield and reactivity of isomers analogous to **2** as a function of substrate (substituents and leaving group) and reaction conditions (solvent, wavelength of irradiation, etc.).

**Acknowledgment.** We thank NSERC of Canada for funding for this research and for a WF Award to F.L.C. We also thank Dr. Norman Schepp for assistance with the laser experiments.

## JO9722616

<sup>(19)</sup> Samples of **2** were still contaminated with the DMBA that had been in **5**. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **2** in CDCl<sub>3</sub> could not be analyzed because the signals for H<sub>b</sub>, H<sub>c</sub>, H<sub>d</sub>, and H<sub>e</sub> were almost coincident at 250 mHz, giving an unresolved multiplet. In contrast, these signals were well dispersed in acetonitrile: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN at 250 mHz with  $\delta$  values reported relative to a value of  $\delta$  5.04 for the methylene hydrogens of DMBA, their chemical shift in CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.10 (H<sub>d</sub>), 5.33 (H<sub>b</sub>), 5.24 (H<sub>d</sub>), 5.19 (H<sub>c</sub> or H<sub>d</sub>), 5.08 (H<sub>c</sub> or H<sub>d</sub>), 3.67 (3H, s), 3.65 (3H, s), 2.04 (3H, s); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CD<sub>3</sub>CN)  $\delta$  158.4 (C), 142.8 (C), 115.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>=), 97.5 (CH<sub>d</sub>), 92.0 (CH<sub>b</sub>), 69.3 (CH<sub>a</sub>), 56.3 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 55.7 (CH<sub>3</sub>O), 21.4 (CH<sub>3</sub>). Assignments were made on the basis of <sup>1</sup>H<sup>-13</sup>C correlated spectra.

<sup>(20)</sup> Jaeger<sup>1</sup> reported the same process in benzene at 50 °C, and this observation was used as support for the assignment of the structure **2** to the photoisomer isolated. In fact, the process observed was thermal conversion of **5** to **2** to **6**.

<sup>(21)</sup> Continuum NY-61 Nd:YAG laser at 266 nm,  ${\leq}15$  mJ/pulse,  ${\leq}8$  ns/ pulse.

<sup>(22)</sup> Similar laser experiments as a function of temperature in methanol gave  $\Delta H^{\ddagger} = 16$  kcal/mol and  $\Delta S^{\ddagger} = -14$  eu and in other solvents (ethanol, 2-propanol) at 25 °C gave a linear *mY* correlation with *Y* = 0.57.

<sup>(23) (</sup>a) Jiminez, M. C.; Miranda, M. A.; Scaiano, C.; Tormos, R. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 1487–1488. (b) Mirand, M. A. In *Handbook of Organic Photochemistry and Photobiology*; Horspool, W. M., Song, P. S., Eds.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1995; pp 570–578.

<sup>(24)</sup> We have not been able to isolate this compound because it is contained in chromatograpy fractions that are mixtures of hydrocarbons dominated by the aromatic isomer, 3,5-dimethoxyethylbenzene. (25) Hilborn, J. W.; Pincock, J. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1991**, *113*, 2683–2686.

<sup>(26)</sup> The rate of solvolysis of the trienyl ester from DMBP is a factor of 2 slower than for **2**. This is in agreement with the fact that acetic acid ( $pK_a = 4.75$ ) is a slightly stronger acid than pivalic acid ( $pK_a = 5.03$ ), and therefore, acetate is the better leaving group.